

No	For	Against	Location	Res Bay	Pay Bay	Comments on Design	ECS Only	Additional Comments
1	✓		Near the school on each side			None		A square like ours should have six hangers
2	✓		Back of school opposite hotel and garages	✓		Sheds are very ugly		Far end of south east arm nxt to flour bed or adjacent to garden wall on north east arm opposite current shed
3	✓		Earls Court Road, Old Brompton Road or arms of the square		✓	Low key smart and fairly inoffensive		Could be interesting to see other design ideas
4	✓		Anywhere they are needed		✓	Very practical for bike users and not offensive		
5	✓		Car park on eastern side arms of the square pavement in Warwick Road			Change color to brownish		
6	✓					current design is a bit out of character it will be nice if the design reflected the cultural heritage of the conservation area		
7		✗	Not in the square			Extremely ugly		
8	✓					Like the design		Safe parking for the bikes is important prefer not to give up pay and display
9	✓					They could have a green scene. Find a way of planting on them		Involve the Royal College of Art in the design
10	✓		Farnell Mews on the single yellow line under the trees on the garden side (East or West)	✓		Ok with existing design and favourable to other more attractive options		
11	✓				✓	Neutral		
12	✓		Arms of the Square			Looks practical and unintrusive	✓	
13	✓		Outside the school and Wetherby Mansions behind planters			Hate the design	✓	
14	✓		Nearby roads, arms of the square (the arm where the hotel and school are)		✓	Open to other designs that could potentially hold more bikes	✓	
15	✓		Both square and main road			Fit for purpose, the cultural heritage of the conservation area is preserved		Divided on whether spaces should be for ECS residents only as the respondent is currently storing their bike in Barkston Gardens
16	✓		Adjacent to existing hangers in Braham Gardens, next to waste bins on Kempsford Gardens, next to quarter circle up Warwick Road or the road behind Landham Mansions					
17	✓		No preference	✓		No objection to current design	✓	In favour only if these were for residents, if first come first served then not

18	✓		No strong position		✓	Ok with design but can be improved	✓	
19	✓		Arms and around the Square		✓	Nice but easy to operate and hard to break in	✓	
20	✓		Arms of the Square or at a corner of the garden	✓		Don't mind the design as long as they all match in colour		
21	✓		In the Square or side roads but not main roads		✓	In favour of current design, but also in favour of other aesthetic designs	✓	
22	✓		Outside Kings Kebabs and by Farnell Mews				✓	Not keen on cycle hangars if they were in the main part of the Square around gardens
23		✗	They should be consigned to wide pavements on main roads			The hangars are quite ugly and even if improved, they will continue to be unsuitable for a conservation area		
24	✓		In the Square, in some of the residents parking bays surrounding the garden, opposite or in Farnell Mews	✓		The design is acceptable	✓	
25	✓		Arms of the Square where the road is blocked by the planters	✓		The design is fine	✓	
26	✓		The best place would be under the trees on the garden side of the road as these are the least used residents spaces	✓		The design is fine. Would be good to have conformity so all hangars are the same throughout the Borough. They should be black to match railings.	✓	Prioritizing applications from residents in the proximity to the hangar perhaps better in practice than restricting access only to residents
27	✓			✓		Not keen on the look, but need to accept the need for them	✓	Views of 20 residents in the building
28	✓		Arms of the Square, Old Brompton Road and Earl's Court Road	✓		Practical and not intrusive	✓	
29		✗					✓	Concerned that we may be told by Council we will have less ugly ones in future but this may never happen. If redesigned they should not take up Residents' Bays and they should be for people in the Square
30			North Side of St Cuthberts, perhaps shrinking the motorcycle bay, removing the pay and display or remove the bicycle mounts		✓			
31					✓	Ugly	✓	Respondent does not live in the Square
32	✓		Arms of square	✗		Like design of current hangar		Residents parking important for value of property so would not like to lose bays.
33		✗	Does not feel that hangars should be located in square or arms of square			Do not like design		